

Risk Profile Assessment (Procurement)

Foundation for Cancer Research

Question	Comment	Risk characteristics	
		Negative impact	Probability
<i>The preparation phase of the procurement process</i>			
1. Is the private sector competitive, well organized, and able to access the market?	There is a reasonably well-functioning private sector, but competition for large contracts is concentrated in a relatively small number of firms	Low	Medium
	It could be not enough capable bidders due to market size or due to the subject matter of a tender	Medium	Medium
	It could be not enough capable bidders due to the specifics of the subject matter	High	Medium
2. Do measures exist to ensure the adequacy and accuracy of cost estimates before bidding and to manage contract price variations?	The beneficiary has well established internal control system and a team of professionals who can verify submitted proposals	Low	Low
	The beneficiary has the capacity to verify submitted proposals	Medium	Medium
	The beneficiary does not have the capacity to verify proposals	High	High
3. Is information pertaining to public procurement easy to find, comprehensive, and relevant?	Information on procurement is easily assessable in media of wide circulation and availability. The information provided is centralized in a commonplace, relevant, and complete	Low	Low
	Information about procurement is published on the program website and governmental procurement portal	Medium	Medium
	Information about procurement published at a governmental portal only	High	High
<i>Publication and transparency</i>			
1. Are all procurement documents accessible to all tenderers in the same way (i.e., specific documents were not easier to obtain for domestic tenderers)?	Some of the documents are accessible in the domestic language only	Low	Low
	Not all documents are easily accessible	Medium	Low
	The contact form for clarification issues is not visible	High	Medium
2. Can tenderers access all relevant information straight	Some pieces of the information require clarification	Low	Low

from the procurement documents?	Part of the documents requires clarification because some of the statements possibly have a double meaning	Medium	Low
	The statements in the documentation are vague, there is inconsistency between the documents	High	High
<i>Submission of tenders, opening, and selection</i>			
1. Submission time is sufficient	Technically the number of days is sufficient, but the tender scheduled for the month which has several public holidays and people tends to take longer weekend-vacations	Low	Low
	The deadlines are too short considering the number of documents required	Medium	Low
	The deadlines which are set do not consider the legal requirement	High	High
2. Award criteria target the best price-quality ratio, and they are linked to the subject matter of the contract	The quality criteria are not clearly linked to the subject matter in the tender documentation	Low	Low
	The price in the tender proposal can be met by a bidder	Medium	High
	The quality depends on the manufacturer, but the bidder is an intermediary	High	High
<i>Evaluation and award</i>			
Members of the evaluation committee have the appropriate knowledge given the subject matter of the contract	The members of the evaluation committee have low experience	Low	Low
	The members of the evaluation committee do not have enough knowledge about the subject matter of the contract	Medium	High
	The members of the evaluation committee do not have enough knowledge about the subject matter of the contract and have low experience	High	High
<i>Contract implementation</i>			
1. Additional works/services/supplies were awarded without competition	All of the relevant exceptional conditions were applied	Low	Low
	Not all the relevant exceptional conditions were applied	Medium	High
	The relevant exceptional conditions were not applied	High	High
2. Contract value was changed	Contract value increased by 10%	Low	Low
	Contract value increased by 20%	Medium	High
	Contract value increased more than 20%	High	High